

18 január 2022



Vážená pani poslankyňa, vážený pán poslanec Európskeho parlamentu,

Vec: Hlasujte v pléne za odporúčania ANIT

Vo štvrtok 20. januára 2022 bude Európsky parlament hlasovať o návrhu odporúčaní Rade a Komisii, ktoré sú výsledkom vyšetrovania porušení a nesprávneho úradného postupu pri uplatňovaní práva Únie v súvislosti s ochranou zvierat počas prepravy v rámci Únie a za jej hranicami. ([B9-0057/2022/REV1](#))

Eurogroup for Animals, Compassion in World Farming, Four Paws a ich partneri vrátane Slobody zvierat, vo všeobecnosti schvaľujú odporúčania Vyšetrovacieho výboru o ochrane zvierat počas prepravy (ANIT), ale vyzývajú vás, aby ste podporili aj sériu pozmeňujúcich a doplňujúcich návrhov, ktoré sú potrebné na ďalšie zlepšenie textu.

Podporte prosím najmä:

- Definíciu dĺžky transportu, **ktorá zahŕňa aj čas nakládky a vykládky zvierat**
- Schválenie cesty, denníka jász a cestovných plánov len ak sa predpoveď počasia pohybuje v rozmedzí 5 °C až 25 °C, a to počas celej cesty, bez ohľadu na typ použitej dopravy
- **Nepovoliť prepravu gravidných zvierat v štádiu 40 % gravidity a viac**
- Zo strany Komisie **vytvoriť zoznamu všetkých dostupných veterinárnych lekárov** vrátane kontaktných údajov, ktoré by **boli vodičom neustále k dispozícii** pre prípad potreby pomoci zvieratám počas prepravy
- **Zamietnuť prepravu zvierat do tretích krajín pokiaľ nemožno garantovať dodržiavanie nariadenia (ES) č. 1/2005 až do cieľového miesta určenia** v súlade s rozsudkom Súdneho dvora EÚ C-424/13
- Naliehať na Komisiu a členské štáty, aby nasledovali vyhlásenie Holandska, Nemecka a Luxemburska o záveroch Rady o welfare zvierat počas dlhej námornej prepravy do tretích krajín, s cieľom **zaviesť v celej EÚ zákaz dlhých transportov hospodárskych zvierat do tretích krajín po ceste a po mori**
- **Zakázať prepravu zvierat do tretích krajín, pokiaľ nie sú dodržané štandardy OIE a WHO**, a zákaz obchodu a prepravy zvierat za účelom usmrtenia bez predchádzajúceho zbavenia vedomia.

Detaily pozmeňujúcich návrhov, nech sa páči, nižšie:

Legend: + = support - = reject / = neutral

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
Motion for a resolution B9-0057/2022 (Committee of Inquiry on the Protection of Animals during Transport)					

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
§ 1	12	The Left	RCV	+	Stresses that all the conditions necessary to guarantee animal welfare during transport must be ensured at all times for all animals transported, regardless of their species, age, category or physical condition, the means of transport used, the length of the journey, or the place of destination, including third countries; notes that everyone should have a particular interest in ensuring that animals arrive at their destination in the best possible condition;
After § 1	7	MEPs ID MEPs	RCV	-	Considers that animal welfare during transport can be adequately guaranteed through the timely and precise implementation and enforcement of the current provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;
§ 4	5	ID	RCV	-	Notes that every year millions of live animals are transported within Member States and to third countries over long distances for breeding, rearing, further fattening and slaughter; condemns the practice of transporting animals across internal and external borders for the purpose of ritual slaughter;
§ 6	§	original text	split		
			1 RCV	+	Agrees with the European Court of Auditors that although the EU has some of the highest animal welfare standards in the world, they are not implemented adequately throughout all Member States ⁽⁴⁾ ; insists that these standards only become effective if they are fully enforced, implemented in a harmonised manner, and reflect the latest scientific knowledge; considers that the EU needs to step up its efforts to ensure that animal welfare during transport is fully respected in every Member State at all times and by every party concerned, from the place of origin to the final destination; stresses that malpractice is not present across the whole industry; recognises that the transport of live animals currently plays a vital role in the economic and social dimension of some rural areas, in particular those which are predominantly agricultural, more remote or depopulated;
			2 RCV	-	stresses that malpractice is not present across the whole industry;
§ 8	§	original text	split		

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			1 RCV	+	Stresses that the EU must work to create the necessary conditions that would allow a fair and adequate period of transition to a more efficient, economical and ethical system that favours the transport of semen or embryos over breeding stock, and carcasses and meat rather than animals being transited to slaughter, wherever possible ;
			2 RCV	-	wherever possible
§ 9	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	Notes that the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 are not aligned with the Farm to Fork strategy and welcomes the Commission's proposed fitness check of EU legislation as part of the strategy; stresses that the revised Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 should be aligned with the Farm to Fork strategy; underlines that moving towards the replacement, as far as possible , of the transport of live animals with the transport of carcasses and meat products and of genetic material would be in line with the strategy's main objective of building more resilient and sustainable short food supply chains which are less dependent on long-haul animal transport; considers, moreover, that the transport of germinal products, which take up less space, can reduce the environmental impact in terms of CO ₂ emissions and fuel consumption; further believes that a focus on small farms and regional networks of slaughterhouses can contribute to reducing animal transport as part of attaining the goal of a resilient, sustainable food system which affords farmers decent living standards; notes that the Farm to Fork strategy recalls the importance of moving towards a more healthy, plant-based , balanced and sustainable food consumption;
			2 RCV	-	as far as possible
			3 RCV	+	plant-based
After § 9	29	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Underlines that a decrease in the consumption of animal products, such as meat, dairy and eggs, will reduce the number of transport operations involving live animals and that this will make a positive contribution to animal welfare, the environment and public health, and is one of the key factors in combating climate change;</i>
§ 10	§	<i>original text</i>	split		

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			1 RCV	+	Calls on the Commission to urgently present, and no later than 2023, an action plan clearly identifying the driving forces behind animal transport and proposing concrete policy actions, including regulatory actions, and setting a precise timeline and milestones, with the aim of mitigating those forces, and reducing the need to transport live animals enabling the replacement of live transport by a meat, carcasses and genetic material trade as much as possible ; recalls the need to minimise the socioeconomic impacts of such a change, by directing different funds, including from the common agricultural policy (CAP), for this objective, and by providing the correct incentives to encourage and enable farmers and transporters to perform this transition efficiently; insists that the transition is possible only if multidisciplinary action going beyond Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 is adopted to facilitate and support the transition, so that it takes place smoothly and in a socially conscious way;
			2 RCV	-	as much as possible
			3 RCV	+	including from the common agricultural policy (CAP)
§ 11	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	Underlines the advantages of transporting genetic material (semen and embryos) in spreading the genetic improvement of some species; recalls, however, that the transport of animals can contribute to a wider genetic pool on farms, for example in third countries, and notes that in some cases, species' natural mating and/or maternal lines need to be transported from nucleus, 'multiplication' units and farms to other farms; recalls that this transport is still important for small-scale and family-run farm livelihoods in the EU; points out, furthermore, that CAP and other funds need to be used to develop and stimulate new technologies, and innovations on handling and transporting genetic material and to support breeders;
			2 RCV	-	recalls, however, that the transport of animals can contribute to a wider genetic pool on farms, for example in third countries, and notes that in some cases, species' natural mating and/or maternal lines need to be transported from nucleus, 'multiplication' units and farms to other farms;
			3 RCV	+	CAP and other

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
After § 12	30	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Stresses that the EU's industrial export-oriented agricultural production, including the transport of animals within and outside the EU, is harmful to the environment, biodiversity and animal welfare, and calls therefore for a reduction in the number of animals reared, killed and transported by better matching production to consumption in the EU, which would ensure local production and replace industrial farming systems with agro-ecological ones;</i>
After § 16	8	MEPs ID MEPs	RCV	-	<i>Stresses that vehicles must have adequate technologies to load, transport and unload animals in complete safety without causing accidents and injuries to animals and operators; therefore calls on the Commission to allocate funds for the modernisation of means of transport in terms of structure, technology, safety and traceability, in order to contribute to improvements in animal welfare during transport;</i>
§ 17	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	Calls on the Commission to dedicate funds from existing programmes, including Pillar II funds from the CAP, and to envisage new funding instruments in the upcoming financial instruments devoted to more dedicated scientific research and evidence, providing adequate training to all those involved in animal transport, including officials and drivers, to improving animal welfare during transport, as well as to build slaughter facilities, including mobile ones, in regions with high concentrations of animals, improving transport time and quality, and also livelihoods in rural areas and measures promoting a shift to a meat, carcasses and genetic material transport; reiterates the importance of regional value chains for animal welfare;
			2 RCV	+	including Pillar II funds from the CAP
§ 18	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	Calls for the upcoming CAP reform to maintain and reinforce the link between increased CAP payments and improved animal welfare conditions which fully abide by or go beyond the standards of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;
			2 RCV	-	fully abide by or

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
§ 19	§	original text	RCV	-	Calls on the Commission to develop campaigns and initiatives to properly inform EU citizens and increase their awareness of animal welfare on farms and during transport, of the need to improve and properly enforce EU standards and support those working in the animal transport industry to help them raise standards, and of the economic and social implications of transporting live animals; considers that the primary aim is to instil greater confidence in consumers of the high value and quality of the European agricultural and food sector by providing better and transparent communication, be it directly from farmers or indirectly through the EU institutions;
§ 20	§	original text	split		
			1 RCV	+	Stresses that public awareness and understanding of animal welfare in food production are significantly improved by providing information in schools and launching advertising campaigns;
			2 RCV	+	in schools and launching advertising campaigns
§ 26	§	original text	split		
			1 RCV	+	Calls on the Commission and the Member States to revise Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 in order to ensure that drivers do not need to decide between following the rules and safeguarding the welfare of animals,
			2 RCV	-	namely of the need to stop and rest which can sometimes come at the detriment of the welfare of the animals;
After § 42	13	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Urges the Member States to immediately set up a moratorium on the import and export of live animals in the event of an outbreak of an infectious zoonotic disease;</i>
§ 60	§	original text	split		

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			1 RCV	+	Notes that the Member States should not authorise the use of vehicles and vessels transporting animals that do not comply with the provisions of the Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; calls on the Member States to be more rigorous in both the certification and approval procedures for vehicles and vessels and in granting certificates of competence to drivers; calls on the Member States to be more rigorous in rejecting certification and approvals in case of non-compliance and to withdraw authorisations that have already been issued, where necessary; calls on the Commission to be more rigorous in sanctioning Member States that approve means of transport that are not in line with animals' welfare needs;
			2 RCV	-	where necessary
§ 63	§	original text	split		
			1 RCV	+	Considers that the equipping of means of transport with a CCTV system, for long-haul journeys , with a particular focus on the loading and unloading operations, should be mandatory for the sake of animal welfare protection and in order to safeguard operators who comply with the rules from unfair competition; stresses that data protection and privacy rights, including those not involved in the transport of animals who may unknowingly be recorded, must be ensured by the competent authorities throughout the entire process; considers that carriers must keep the videos recorded for a set period and make them available to the competent authorities upon request;
			2 RCV	-	for long-haul journeys
§ 70	§	original text	split		
			1 RCV	+	Calls on the Commission to establish a minimum common framework on the number of checks on animal transport journeys, ensuring that the number of national and EU checks are proportionate to the number of animals departing from each Member State; calls on the Commission, furthermore, to propose control options which do not involve additional bureaucratic obstacles that could jeopardise the welfare of the animals intended for transport or the uniformity of controls throughout the Union; considers that Member States should put in place control systems that verify the existence, quality and implementation of risk analyses when delegating controls to other authorities;

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			2 RCV	-	involve additional bureaucratic obstacles that could
§ 78	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	Calls for animal-based welfare indicators such as physical, physiological and behavioural indexes (i.e. based on observations of the animals), as well as the possible use of wearable monitors and biochemical markers : to be incorporated into Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, and to be used by the competent authorities and the Commission for data collection purposes, veterinary inspectors, and transporters and operators under commercial conditions; considers that such tools would be necessary to assess animal well-being before, during and after transport; notes that some such indicators already exist, while others are being developed by European reference centres, but stresses the need for further research and data collection in the field;
			2 RCV	-	as well as the possible use of wearable monitors and biochemical markers
§ 87	14	The Left	RCV	+	adoption 14 = 10 falls <i>Urges the Commission and the Member States to ensure, in accordance with Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, that all the necessary arrangements shall be made in advance to minimise the length of the journey and meet the animals' needs during the journey; recommends</i> that, in future legislation, journey time for domestic animals should <i>be as short as possible and</i> not exceed <i>two</i> hours, while taking into consideration the specific geographical characteristics of some regions, such as islands, outermost regions, remote regions, and areas where infrastructure is underdeveloped; stresses that the maximum journey time must apply to all means of transport;
	10	MEPs	split		
			1 RCV	+	Recommends that, in future legislation, journey time should not exceed eight hours, while taking into consideration the specific geographical characteristics of some regions, such as islands, outermost regions <i>and</i> remote regions; stresses that the maximum journey time must apply to all means of transport,
			2 RCV	-	except transport by sea; <i>recommends a maximum journey time of 24 hours for transport by sea;</i>
§		<i>original text</i>	split		

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			1 RCV	+	Recommends that, in future legislation, journey time for domestic animals going to slaughter should, in principle, not exceed eight hours, while taking into consideration the specific geographical characteristics of some regions, such as islands, outermost regions, remote regions, and areas where infrastructure is underdeveloped; stresses that the maximum journey time must apply to all means of transport, except transport by sea;
			2 RCV	-	for domestic animals going to slaughter in principle and areas where infrastructure is underdeveloped
§ 88	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	Insists that the provisions regarding the maximum length of the journey should be revisited, to integrate evidence from existing and ongoing scientific studies and taking animal-based evidence and species, age, and category-specific needs into account;
			2 RCV	+	be revisited, to
§ 91	15	The Left	RCV	+	Calls for a definition of 'journey time' as the entire time of movement including the time of loading into a means of transport, and unloading from the means of transport, once arrived at the final destination; calls on the competent authorities to check whether planned loading/unloading times are realistic, proportionate to the number of animals to be loaded or unloaded, and accurately declared in the journey log; deems it important, moreover, to adopt measures to prevent 'assembly centre hopping' aimed at circumventing journey limits to the final destination;

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
§ 94	9	MEPs ID MEPs	RCV	-	<p>adoption 9 = 16 falls</p> <p>Calls on the Member States to ensure optimal temperatures inside vehicles for the species transported at all times during the journey, whether the means of transport is stationary or moving and whatever the outside temperature; calls on the Member States, furthermore, to strictly apply the minimum and maximum temperature inside the means of transport as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 and to ensure that the means of transport used is equipped with climate control systems;</p> <p>DELETES</p> <p><i>considers that the Member States should only grant approval of a journey, journey logs and travel plans when the temperatures are forecasted to be within the range of 5 °C and 30 °C, for the duration of the whole journey, regardless of the type of transport used</i></p>
	16	The Left	RCV	+	<p>Calls on the Member States to ensure optimal temperatures inside vehicles for the species transported at all times during the journey, whether the means of transport is stationary or moving and whatever the outside temperature; calls on the Member States, furthermore, to strictly apply the minimum and maximum temperature inside the means of transport as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1/2005; considers that the Member States should only grant approval of a journey, journey logs and travel plans when the temperatures are forecasted to be within the range of 5 °C and 25 °C, for the duration of the whole journey, regardless of the type of transport used;</p>
	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	<p>Calls on the Member States to ensure optimal temperatures inside vehicles for the species transported at all times during the journey, whether the means of transport is stationary or moving and whatever the outside temperature; calls on the Member States, furthermore, to strictly apply the minimum and maximum temperature inside the means of transport as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;</p>

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			2 RCV	+	considers that the Member States should only grant approval of a journey, journey logs and travel plans when the temperatures are forecasted to be within the range of 5 °C and 30 °C, for the duration of the whole journey, regardless of the type of transport used, unless the means of transport used is equipped with climate control systems capable of maintaining the temperatures within the appropriate range;
§ 96	17	The Left	RCV	+	Calls on the Member States to perform additional and adequate controls during very low temperatures and heat waves and calls for studies to be undertaken to fill knowledge gaps on the impact of temperatures on animal welfare;
After § 96	18	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Urges the Member States to develop heat plans to protect animals during heat waves, including keeping fewer animals in stables by setting up breeding restrictions before the start of the summer, and the implementation of measures to prevent the occurrence of heat stress in animals, by lowering the slaughtering speed in slaughterhouses in order to limit the number of animals arriving at the slaughterhouse at the same time, setting up maximum waiting times at slaughterhouses, ensuring mandatory ventilation in all vehicles used to transport animals, and performing additional, adequate controls during heat waves;</i>
§ 104	1 = 3 =	EPP, S&D Renew	RCV	-	Calls on the Commission to introduce, supported by peer reviewed scientific studies, journey time limits for <i>all animal species and ages, and in particular for</i> unweaned animals; <i>urges the Commission to ban the transport of very young animals (bovine, ovine, caprine or porcine, and domestic Equidae) below the age of 35 days;</i> considers that the transport of unweaned animals <i>over the age of 35 days</i> should be avoided and <i>only not</i> allowed <i>for calves below four weeks, except</i> in the case of transport <i>under two hours carried out by farmers for a distance of less than 50 km;</i> considers that the transport limitation for these animals needs to be strongly considered in the future regulation, also taking into account the need for actions to ensure that all animals receive proper care at the farm of origin;
	§	<i>original text</i>	split		

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			1 RCV	+	Calls on the Commission to introduce, supported by peer reviewed scientific studies, journey time limits for all animal species and ages, and in particular for unweaned animals; urges the Commission to ban the transport of very young animals (bovine, ovine, caprine or porcine, and domestic Equidae) below the age of 35 days; considers that the transport of unweaned animals over the age of 35 days should be avoided and only allowed in the case of transport under two hours; considers that the transport limitation for these animals needs to be strongly considered in the future regulation, also taking into account the need for actions to ensure that all animals receive proper care at the farm of origin;
			2 RCV	+	for all animal species and ages
			3 RCV	+	and in particular
			4 RCV	+	for unweaned animals; urges the Commission to ban the transport of very young animals (bovine, ovine, caprine or porcine, and domestic Equidae) below the age of 35 days; considers that the transport of unweaned animals over the age of 35 days should be avoided and only allowed in the case of transport under two hours; considers that the transport limitation for these animals needs to be strongly considered in the future regulation, also
After § 104	19	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Emphasises that mounting criticism on the transportation of unweaned animals in trucks and on vessels should by no means lead to the transportation of unweaned animals by plane, given the huge consequences for animal welfare and the climate;</i>

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
§ 110	2 = 4 =	EPP, S&D Renew	RCV	-	KEY VOTE Stresses the vulnerability of gestating females, which have very specific biological needs and are particularly vulnerable in transport, which can cause miscarriages or birthing during the journey, with risk of death of the mother or young animal; considers that transport of gestating animals should be avoided and insists believes that the transport of pregnant animals in the last third of gestation should not be allowed be restricted to a maximum of four hours , given that they are at greater risk of suffering poor welfare during transport; calls for more research to be undertaken to develop more accurate methods for determining gestational age and assessing fitness for transport according to the stage of gestation; calls on the Member States' authorities to be highly vigilant to ensure that unfit animals are not transported, in particular pregnant females above the maximum gestation period allowed by Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;
	20	The Left	RCV	+	Stresses the vulnerability of gestating females, which have very specific biological needs and are particularly vulnerable in transport, which can cause miscarriages or birthing during the journey, with risk of death of the mother or young animal; considers that transport of gestating animals should be avoided and insists that the transport of pregnant animals more than 40 % of the way through gestation should not be allowed, given that they are at greater risk of suffering poor welfare during transport; calls for more research to be undertaken to develop more accurate methods for determining gestational age and assessing fitness for transport according to the stage of gestation; calls on the Member States' authorities to be highly vigilant to ensure that unfit animals are not transported, in particular pregnant females above the maximum gestation period allowed by Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;
§ 111	§	<i>original text</i>	split		

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			1 RCV	+	Considers that the risks of lower levels of protection of vulnerable animals, with less economic value, particularly animals at the end of their productive life, is very real and this should therefore be factored into the revision of the provisions, along with avoiding long-distance transport of these animals, because of the difficulty to assess their fitness, as well as their ability to cope with transport; considers that the transport of end-of-career animals should only be permitted to the closest available species-appropriate slaughterhouse; calls on the Commission to promote initiatives and investments to enhance the network of mobile, local and regional slaughterhouses, in order to ensure that a maximum limit of four hours' transport of end-of-career animals can be attained in the future;
			2 RCV	-	long-distance
After § 111	21	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Insists that in the case of animals getting ill or being injured during transport, drivers must immediately warn a veterinarian, and the animals concerned must be considered 'unfit' for transport, separated from the other animals and receive first aid treatment;</i>
	22	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Urges the Commission to compile a list of all available veterinarians, including contact details, which should be available to drivers at all times in order to assist animals being transported;</i>
§ 113	§	<i>original text</i>	split		

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			1 RCV	+	Calls on the Commission to include provisions within Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 to properly protect species not already adequately covered by the legislation; reminds that guidelines to fill legislative gaps, namely on specific species, are not legally binding and calls on the Commission to include provisions to properly protect species poorly covered in the legislation, such as poultry and rabbits; calls, furthermore, for species-specific provisions in the following cases, including, where relevant , maximum journey times according to reason for transport; considers that specific containers for poultry are required, allowing the birds to stand in their natural position and to have enough air circulating above their heads, with rules for a proper handling, particularly when being caught before transport; notes that the lack of provisions in the regulation concerning rabbits results sometimes in the inadequate use of poultry containers for the transport of rabbits; considers that the transport of poultry and rabbits should only be permitted to the closest available species-appropriate slaughterhouse; calls on the Commission to promote initiatives and investments to enhance the network of mobile, local and regional slaughterhouses, in order to ensure that a maximum limit of four hours' transport of these animals can be attained in the future;
			2 RCV	-	where relevant
§ 116	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	Calls for measures enabling a shift to a meat, carcasses and genetic material trade, where appropriate , that could replace the need for sea transport; urges the Commission to improve and clarify the provisions on maritime transport, in particular on the authorisation process, the definition and identification of organisers and transporters and of their obligations, in order to establish a clear chain of responsibility and transparent communication between farmers, transporters and veterinarians with the competent authorities; calls for the introduction of dissuasive measures, including financial penalties, to prevent dead animals from being discarded in the sea or on transport routes in accordance with the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (the Marpol Convention);

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
			2 RCV	-	where appropriate
§ 121	§	original text	split		
			1 RCV	+	Notes the need to look into opportunities for improving the quality of sea transport for high-health breeding animals
			2 RCV	-	and allowing them to rest in the vehicle where unloading them might compromise their health status;
§ 123	§	original text	split		
			1 RCV	+	Considers the mandatory presence of an independent veterinarian as essential, proportionate to the number of animals, during rest periods at official lairage facilities, and loading and unloading, in particular for long-distance transport; calls on the Member States to introduce mandatory provisions for sea journeys, ensuring the presence of veterinarians or, as last resort, a certified professional with appropriate skills , on board for the whole duration of the sea journey, in order to verify the implementation of the relevant animal health and welfare standards and provide real-time support for sick or injured animals on vessels, as well as to adjust the watering and feeding of the animals to their immediate needs;
			2 RCV	-	or, as last resort, a certified professional with appropriate skills
After § 125	23	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Strongly disapproves of the fact that, since the entry into force of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, the competent EU authorities have routinely approved journeys to third countries without the assurance that the Regulation would be applied all the way to the final destination, which violates CJEU ruling C-424/13 and systematically infringes the Regulation at the EU's external borders, including through long and stressful waiting times for animals, as well as numerous other animal welfare problems linked to transport reported in third countries;</i>
After § 129	24	The Left	RCV	+	<i>Urges the Commission and the Member States to follow-up on the statement¹ made by the Netherlands, Germany and Luxembourg on the Council conclusions on animal welfare during maritime long distances transport to third countries to set up an EU-wide ban on the long-distance transport of livestock to third countries by road and sea;</i>

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
§ 130	11	MEPs	RCV	-	Calls on the Commission to develop a list of third countries applying rules at least as protective as those in the EU and guaranteed by an international agreement, and to ensure that export of live farm animals is only authorised to countries on that list ; at the same time, calls on the Commission to address the issue of non-EU countries using their certification to act as transit hubs for transporting animals towards non-certified third countries, and to ensure that animals will not be further exported once they have arrived at their final destination ; calls on the Commission to establish a certified control system that ensures compliance with EU legislation in any part of the journey in the case of transport to third countries; in this regard, calls on the Commission to assess examples of best practice regarding certification and audit instruments to ensure traceability and welfare during transport of live animals to third countries;
§ 131	25	The Left	RCV	+	Recognises that there is no control system currently in place for transport to third countries, leading to situations where animal exports to third countries often do not respect Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 and are often in violation of the Court of Justice ruling C-424/13 on this matter; calls for a transport of animals between the EU and third countries to not be allowed when the European standards as established by Court of Justice ruling C-424/13 cannot be ensured;
After § 131	26	The Left	RCV	++	Recalls the infringement of the judgment of the Court of Justice in Case C-424/13, in which the Court ruled that Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 also applies to the transport of animals involving a long journey commencing in EU territory and then continuing outside of it; calls on the Commission and the Member States to not allow the export of live animals when animals are no longer protected by Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 and enforcement of the CJEU ruling C-424/13 cannot be guaranteed;
	27	The Left	RCV	+	Recommends that live animals not be transported to third countries that do not have and/or do not meet animal welfare standards, in particular the World Organisation for Animal Health's standards on welfare at slaughter; recommends not allowing the sale and transport of animals that will be slaughtered without prior stunning;

Subject of the amendment	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	Remarks
	28	The Left	RCV	+	KEY VOTE <i>Stresses that once animals cross the EU border, compliance with EU animal welfare standards is impossible to guarantee, and in practice animals are no longer protected by Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, both en route and on arrival, as the EU authorities are not able to carry out checks and the third countries are not bound by EU legislation, and therefore the transport of live animals to third countries should not be allowed;</i>
§ 135	§	<i>original text</i>	split		
			1 RCV	+	Notes the need to look into opportunities for improving the quality of transport for highhealth breeding animals
			2 RCV	-	and allowing them to rest in the vehicle where unloading them might compromise their health status;
After § 139	6	ID	RCV	-	<i>Notes the need to encourage, when possible, a transition to transporting meat or carcasses, rather than live animals, to third countries, and to transporting sperm or embryos rather than live animals for breeding purposes;</i>
vote: resolution (as a whole)			RCV		

All votes are taken by roll-call.

=identical amendments

Requests for separate votes

The Left: § 19 and § 20

Requests for split votes

ECR

§ 94

1st part: "Calls on the Member States...Regulation(EC)No 1/2005"

2nd part: "considers that the Member States...the appropriate range"

§ 104

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the words "and in particular...future regulations also"

2nd part: these words

The Left

§ 6

<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “stresses that malpractice is not present across the whole industry.”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 8	
<i>1st part:</i>	“Stresses that the EU...transited to slaughter”
<i>2nd part:</i>	“wherever possible”
§ 9	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “as far as possible”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 10	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “as much as possible”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 11	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “recalls, however, that...to other farms”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 60	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “,where necessary”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 63	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “for long-haul journeys”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 70	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “involve additional bureaucratic obstacles that could”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 78	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “as well as the possible use of wearable monitors and biochemical markers:”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 111	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “long-distance”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 113	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “where relevant,”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 116	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “where appropriate,”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
§ 123	
<i>1st part:</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words “or, as last resort, a certified professional with appropriate skills,”
<i>2nd part:</i>	these words
AM 10	
<i>1st part:</i>	“Recommends that, in ...means of transport”
<i>2nd part:</i>	“, except transport by...transport by sea”

Greens

§ 18

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the words “fully abide by or”

2nd part: these words

§ 20

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the words “in schools and launching advertising”

2nd part: these words

§ 26

1st part: “calls on the...welfare of animals”

2nd part: “namely of the need...welfare of the animals”

§ 87

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the words “for domestic animals going to slaughter” and “in principle” and “and areas where infrastructure is underdeveloped”

2nd part: these words

§ 121

1st part: “Notes the need...high-health breeding animals”

2nd part: “and allowing them...their health status”

§ 135

1st part: “Notes the need...high-health breeding animals”

2nd part: “and allowing them...their health status”

ID

§ 9

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the word “plant-based”

2nd part: these words

§ 10

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the word “including from the common agricultural policy (CAP)”

2nd part: these words

§ 11

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the word “CAP and other”

2nd part: these words

§ 17

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the word “including Pillar II funds from the CAP”

2nd part: these words

§ 88

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the word “be revisited, to”

2nd part: these words

§ 104

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the word “for all animal species and ages, and in particular”

2nd part: these words

Voting scheme:

§ 9

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the words “as far as possible” and “plant-based”
2nd part: “as far as possible”
3rd part: “plant-based”

§ 10

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the words “as much as possible” and “including from the common agricultural policy (CAP)”
2nd part: “as much as possible”
3rd part: “including from the common agricultural policy (CAP)”

§ 11

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the words “recalls, however, that...to other farms” and “CAP and other”
2nd part: “recalls, however, that...to other farms”
3rd part: “CAP and other”

§ 104

1st part: Text as a whole excluding the word “for all animal species and ages” “and in particular” and “for unweaned animals ... future regulations also”
2nd part: “for all animal species and ages,”
3rd part: “and in particular”
4th part: “for unweaned animals ... future regulations also”